

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/088,748	07/19/2002	Martin Friede	B45226	8803
20462	7590 11/03/2003		EXAM	INER
SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION			LUCAS, ZACHARIAH	
CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY-US, UW2220 P. O. BOX 1539		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
KING OF PR	KING OF PRUSSIA, PA 19406-0939		1648	
			DATE MAILED: 11/03/2003	8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

٠٠ وه ١٠						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
ē	10/088,748	FRIEDE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Zachariah Lucas	1648				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover she t with the c	orrespond nce address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 J	<u>luly 2002</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.	•				
3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 33-64 is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 33-64 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		· · ·				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)□ All b)⊠ Some * c)□ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/088,748

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 33-58, drawn to methods for prophylaxis of influenza infection, and claims 59-63, drawn to a kit used in the claimed method.

Group II, claim(s) 64, drawn to methods of making an influenza vaccine.

For Group I above, restriction to one of the following is also required under 35 USC 121. Therefore, election is required of one of Groups I or II, and, if Group I is elected, then election is also required to one of inventions (A)- (C). The inventions of subgroups (A)-(C) represent the elected invention, wherein the influenza antigen is:

- (A) a split virus antigen;
- (B) a subunit antigen; or
- (C) an inactivated whole virus.

For Groups I and II above, restriction to one of the following is also required under 35 USC 121. Therefore, election is required of one of Groups I or II, and election is also required to one of inventions (1)-(4). The inventions of subgroups (1)-(4) represent the elected invention, wherein the vaccine surfactant is:

- (1) an octylphenoxypolyethoxyethanol;
- (2) a polyethylene sorbitan ester,
- (3) a polyoxythylene ether; or
- (4) an ester of Formula I.
- 2. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the separate Groups of inventions relate to different methods that perform different functions. As the first named invention is a method, the Applicant

Application/Control Number: 10/088,748

Art Unit: 1648

is entitled only to a first product designed for use in that method. Thus, the product claims, claims 59-63, are joined with the method of Group I.

- The inventions listed as Groups (A)-(C) do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: each of the inventions represented by these groups have different structures one from another. Because each of these types of vaccines has a different structure, and because influenza vaccines are known in the art, the separate types of vaccines in these Groups lack a common special technical feature.
- 4. The inventions listed as Groups (1)-(4) do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: each of these inventions has a different chemical structure, and share no common special technical feature as the use of surfactants in vaccines is known in the art.

Species Election

5. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

For each of subinventions (1)-(4), the Applicant is required to elect a species of the elected genera of surfactants. E.g., the Applicant may elect one of the surfactants identified in claim 40, or one of the surfactants falling within Formula I.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/088,748

Art Unit: 1648

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The following claim(s) are generic: claims 33 and 39.

6. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: each of the species relates to a different surfactant compound, each with a different structure, and as the identified surfactants are all known as surfactants in the art, the species lack a common special technical feature.

Conclusion

- Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 8. It is here noted that some of the restrictions requirements made above fall within the scope of PTO Linking claim practice. In accordance with this practice as described in MPEP 809.03, linking claims will be considered with the elected invention. If the elected invention is found allowable, the linking claim will also be examined. If no substantive rejection is found for the linking claim, the restriction among the Groups it comprises will be withdrawn. Claim 33 is

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/088,748

Control Number: 10/000,74

Art Unit: 1648

considered a linking claim for subgroups (A)-(C). Claim 38 is considered a linking claim for subgroups (1)-(4).

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zachariah Lucas whose telephone number is 703-308-4240. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on 703-308-4027. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

. Lucas

Patent Examiner

JAMES HOUSEL

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMÍNER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600